Activity of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride), a topoisomerase I inhibitor, against human tumor colony-forming units

Yasuhiro Shimada,¹ Mace Rothenberg,² Susan G Hilsenbeck,² Howard A Burris III,²,³,⁴ Donna Degen³ and Daniel D Von Hoff²,³

¹Department of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104, Japan. ²The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA. ³Cancer Therapy and Research Center, 8122 Datapoint Drive, Suite 700, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA. Tel: (+1) 210 616 5864; Fax: (+1) 210 692 7502. ⁴Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234, USA.

CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride, 7-ethyl-10-[4-(piperidino)-1-piperidino] carbonyloxy-camptothecin) is a semi-synthetic camptothecin derivative developed in Japan. The inhibitory activity of CPT-11 against human tumor colony-forming units from freshly explanted human tumors was explored using a soft agar cloning system. Final CPT-11 concentrations of 0.3–3.0 µg/ml were used for a 1 h exposure. At a concentration of 3.0 µg/ml antitumor activity was seen against colorectal, ovarian, non-small-cell lung, breast cancer and mesothelioma colony-forming units. CPT-11 should have activity against a broad spectrum of tumors in patients.

Key words: Cloning system, CPT-11, human tumor colonyforming units, irinotecan.

Introduction

CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride, 7-ethyl-10-[4-piperidino)-1-piperidino] carbonyloxy-camptothecin) is a new analog of the plant alkaloid, camptothecin, 1 which is an extract from Camptotheca acuminata. In the early 1970s, captothecin was studied for efficacy in the US.^{2,3} However, clinical development was abandoned due to unexpectedly severe clinical toxicities including hemorrhagic cystitis, neutropenia and gastrointestinal toxicities. In 1983, CPT-11 was developed by Kunimoto and colleagues.4 It demonstrated very significant antitumor activity in a variety of preclinical systems. CPT-11 exerts its antitumor activity through the inhibition of topoisomerase I, which is an essential enzyme for DNA replication. This mechanism is a novel target for cancer chemotherapy. We have reported the inhibitory activity of topotecan, another topoisomerase I inhibitor, against human tumor colony-forming units (HTCFUs) in a previous report.⁵ Topotecan showed in vitro activity against colorectal, breast, lung, ovarian and renal cell cancer HTCFUs.

Correspondence to DD Von Hoff

In the present investigation we have examined the *in vitro* activity of CPT-11 against a variety of HTCFUs using a soft agar cloning system. The study was performed to select specific tumor types in which CPT-11 should have phase II clinical trials as well as determine plasma concentrations which need to be achieved to expect responses in patients.

Materials and methods

Compounds

CPT-11 was kindly supplied by Yakult Honsha Co. (Tokyo, Japan). Final concentrations (dissolved in distilled water) ranged from 0.3 to 3.0 µg/ml.

Human tumor cloning system

After obtaining written informed consent from patients in accordance with federal and institutional guidelines, tumor specimens were collected by standard sterile procedures as a part of routine clinical practice.

Biopsy specimens of solid tumors were placed in McCoy's 5A medium containing 10% newborn calf serum, 10 mM HEPES, 90 U/ml penicillin and 90 µg/ml streptomycin (all from Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY), for transportation to the laboratory. Preservative-free heparin (10 U/ml; O'Neill, Johns and Feldman, St Louis, MO) was added immediately after collection of fluids to prevent coagulation.

Solid specimens were minced and repeatedly passed through metal meshes with widths of $40 \mu m$ (EC Apparatus, St Petersburg, FL) to obtain a single-cell suspension. Effusions were centrifuged at 150 g for 5–7 min and passed through 25 gauge needles to obtain a single-cell suspension. Cells

were suspended in McCoy's 5A medium containing 5% horse serum and 10% fetal calf serum (both Hyclone, Logan, UT) and 2 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM glutamine, 90 U/ml penicillin, 90 μ g/ml streptomycin and 35 μ g/ml L-serine (all from Gibco BRL).

The human tumor cloning assay was performed with the two-layer system described by Hamburger and Salmon,6 with several modifications. Base layers contained 0.5% agar (Difco, Detroit, MI) in the mixture of McCoy's 5A medium mentioned above, 0.6% soy broth (Difco Laboratories) and 100 µg/ml asparagine (Gibco BRL). Cells were plated in a top layer at the density of 5×10^5 per dish in 35 mm Petri dishes (Corning Science Products, Corning, NY) in a mixture of 0.3% agar in Connaught Medical Research Laboratories medium 1066 (Irvine Scientific, Irvine, CA) containing 15% horse serum, 2% fetal calf serum, 5 mg/100 ml vitamin C, 90 U/ml penicillin, 90 µg/ml streptomycin, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 2 mM glutamine (all from Gibco BRL), 2 U/ml insulin (Iletin IR; Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN), 2 µg/ml transferrin and 4 ng/ml hydrocortisone (both from Sigma, St Louis, MO). Immediately before cells were plated, HEPES (Gibco BRL; 10 mM final concentration) and sodium pyruvate (2 mM final concentration) were added. Tumor cells were incubated with CPT-11 for 1 h and the cells were washed twice with McCoy's 5A medium and 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, and then plated. All determinations were performed in triplicate. Each experiment included a control with orthosodiumvanadate (0.01 M; Sigma) to assure the presence of a good single-cell suspension (positive control). Plates were incubated at 37°C under 5% CO₂ and 100% humidity. After 14 days, colonies were counted under an inverted microscope.

An experiment was considered evaluable when the distilled water control (without CPT-11) had 20 or more colonies per plate and when the positive control (orthosodium vanadate) showed inhibition of colony formation of at least 70%. A decrease in tumor cell colony formation resulting from exposure to CPT-11 was considered to be a positive response if survival of colonies was 50% or less than that of water controls.

Statistical analyses

Data were expressed as means and standard deviations of triplicate determinations per datapoint. We calculated percent survival by expressing the average number of tumor colony-forming units per Petri

dish from CPT-11 treated cells as a percent of the average number of tumor colony-forming units per Petri dish from untreated controls. Concentration versus *in vitro* response data were analyzed utilizing a repeated measures analysis of variance. Comparisons of cross-resistance with other agents were analyzed utilizing McNemar tests.

Results

A total of 220 freshly explanted human tumor specimens were plated in the human tumor cloning assay. Six of these specimens were diagnosed as benign and did not form colonies in controls. These specimens were excluded from further analysis. Of 214 tumor specimens, 97 (45%) specimens were evaluable (Table 1). Tumor types studied are also summarized in Table 1. The major tumor subtypes were colorectal, ovarian, non-small-cell lung, breast cancers and mesothelioma. In vitro activity of CPT-11 was examined at three different concentrations. Tumor colony-forming units were inhibited more than 50% of controls, in 8 of 93 (9%) evaluable specimens exposed to CPT-11 at the concentration of 0.3 μ g/ml, in 20 of 97 (21%) at 1.5 μ g/ml and in 32 of 95 (34%) at 3.0 µg/ml, respectively (Table 2). A concentration-dependent increase in the frequency of significant inhibition (>50%) was seen (p=0.0001) for 1.5 versus 0.3 µg/ml and for 3.0 versus $1.5 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ (p=0.001). In vitro sensitive tumor types included colorectal, ovarian, nonsmall cell lung, breast cancers and mesothelioma,

Table 1. Tumor types studied with CPT-11

Tumor type	No. evaluable	No. attempted	(%)
Colorectal	20	53	(38)
Ovary	23	28	(82)
Lung (non-small-cell)	11	20	(55)
Mesothelioma	11	18	(61)
Breast	9	17	(53)
Melanoma	5	8	(63)
Cervix	2	4	(50)
Pancreas	2	3	(67)
Sarcoma	2	11	(18)
Skin	1	2	(50)
Unknown primary	3	9	(33)
Other ^a	8	41	(20)
Total	97	214	(45)

^aOther category include 0/2 testis, 1/3 prostate, 0/1 carcinoid, 1/4 corpus uteri, 1/1 small bowel, 1/1 gallbladder, 2/7 kidney, 1/4 liver, 0/5 head and neck, 0/3 small-cell lung, 0/3 lymphoma, 0/2 myeloma and 1/5 stomach.

Table 2. Concentration-dependent inhibition of colony formation by CPT-11 after 1 h exposure

Tumor type	No. of specimens with inhibition ^a /no. of specimens evaluable at CPT-11 concentration (μ/ml)			
	0.3	1.5	3.0	
Colorectal	1/20	2/20	5/20	
Ovary	2/22	3/23	5/22	
Lung (non-small-cell)	1/11	4/11	6/11	
Breast	1/8	3/9	5/8	
Mesothelioma	1/10	2/11	4/11	
Cervix	1/2	1/2	1/2	
Melanoma	0/4	1/5	1/5	
Pancreas	0/2	1/2	1/2	
Sacoma	0/2	1/2	2/2	
Skin	0/1	1/1	1/1	
Unknown primary	1/3	1/3	1/3	
Other ^b	0/8	0/8	0/9	
Total	8/93	20/97	32/95	
(%)	(9)°	(21) ^{c,d}	(34) ^d	

^aColony survival ≤0.5 × control.

with responses observed in 25, 23, 55, 63 and 36%, respectively, of assessable tumor specimens at a CPT-11 concentration of 3.0 μ g/ml (Table 2). Although the number of evaluable tumors studied was small, activity was also noted against cervix, melanoma, pancreas, squamous cell skin and sarcoma colony-forming units.

We compared *in vitro* responses to CPT-11 at a concentration of 3.0 μ g/ml with those to seven conventional antineoplastic agents (Table 3). In head-to-head comparison, tumor cells were significantly more likely to be sensitive to CPT-11 than to doxorubicin. Thus HTCFUs resistant to doxorubicin are likely not to be cross-resistant to CPT-11. For the other drugs studied, there was not a significant incidence of non-cross-resistance. This may be due to the relatively small sample sizes for each agent.

Discussion

In the 1970s, camptothecin sodium was studied in the US, but unexpectedly severe toxicities such as hemorrhagic cystitis, neutropenia and gastrointestinal toxicity were noted. ^{2,3} Although some responses were seen, further development was abandoned

due to clinical toxicities.⁷ New, less-toxic derivatives were necessary for clinical development.

In the late 1980s, some active derivatives (9-aminocamptothecin⁷ and topotecan) were tested in preclinical systems. CPT-11 is currently being evaluated in ongoing clinical trials. This compound has a unique structure, with a piperidio- side chain at the 10th position of camptothecin. This modification gives improved water solubility and fewer toxicities in preclinical systems. CPT-11 has shown broad and significant antitumor activity against a variety of preclinical tumor types. ^{8,9} The mechanism of action of CPT-11 is the inhibition of topoisomerase I activity.

We have studied the activity of CPT-11 as a 1 h exposure against HTCFUs from freshly explanted human tumors. CPT-11 inhibited HTCFUs in a concentration-dependent fashion. Significant *in vitro* activity is seen when *in vitro* concentrations of CPT-11 were $\geq 1.5 \ \mu g/ml$ (see Table 2). Thus, if one could achieve similar concentrations in patients, CPT-11 should have clinical antitumor activity.

Some groups have already reported pharmacokinetic parameters for CPT-11. In a phase I study by Taguchi and colleagues, 10 CPT-11 was administered with 30 min infusion every 3 weeks with doses escalated from 50 to 350 mg/m². Maximum peak plasma concentrations (C_{max}) of CPT-11 ranged from 0.72 to 7.58 µg/ml. 10 Sasaki et al. 11 reported a C_{max} of 1.35 µg/ml (range from 0.74 to 2.31 µg/ ml) with a dose of 100 mg/m² as a 90 min infusion. Negoro et al. 12 reported a C_{max} of 1.58 µg/ml at a dose of 125 mg/m² and a C_{max} of 2.97 µg/ml at a dose of 150 mg/m² as a 90 min infusion. Similarly, our group reported a C_{max} of 1.41 µg/ml at 100 mg/m² as a 90 min infusion. ¹³ The Johns Hopkins' group reported a $C_{\rm max}$ of 3.39 $\mu g/ml$ at 290 mg/ m² given over 90 min. ¹⁴ Finally, the French group administered CPT-11 at a dose of 100 mg/m² over 30 min and obtained a peak plasma level of 2.57 µg/ml. 15 In summary, the pharmacokinetic data from phase I studies indicated that peak plasma levels ranged from 0.72 to 7.58 µg/ml. Thus, it appears the concentrations we tested in vitro can be achieved in patients.

At the concentration of 3.0 μg/ml, HTCFUs sensitive *in vitro* to CPT-11 included breast (5/8), non-small-cell lung (6/11), mesothelioma (4/11), ovarian (5/22) and colorectal cancer (5/20). Other types responding *in vitro* (with only a small sample size tested) included sarcomas, cervical cancer, melanoma, pancreatic cancer and squamous cell skin cancer. In early Japanese clinical trials, antitumor

^bOther category includes two evaluable renal cells, and one each of corpus uteri, small bowel, gallbladder, hepatoma, prostate and stomach.

 $^{^{}c}p$ = 0.0001 for comparison by repeated measures analysis of variance.

 $^{^{}d}p = 0.001$ for comparison by repeated measures analysis of variance.

Table 3. Comparison of the antitumor activity in tumor specimens with 1 h exposure to CPT-11 or conventional antineoplastic agents

Drug	CPT-11(3	CPT-11(3.0 μg/ml)		p value
	no. sensitive	no. resistant	Specimens	
Doxorubicin (0.4 μg/ml)				
no. sensitive ^a	6	2		
no. resistant	10	22		
Cioplotia (0.2a/ml)			40	0.043
Cisplatin (0.2 μg/ml) no. sensitive	6	4		
no. sensitive no. resistant	6 11	4 16		
no. resistant	11	10	37	0.121
Mitomycin-C (0.1 μg/ml)			37	0.121
no. sensitive	2	0		
no. resistant 5	5	17		
	•		24	0.074
Vinblastine (0.05 μg/ml)				
no sensitive	7	3		
no. resistant	3	11		
			24	1.0
5-Fluorouracil (6.0 μg/m				
no. sensitive	3	3		
no resistant	3	12		
			21	+.0
4-Hydroperoxy cyclopho	osphamide (3.0 μg/ml)			
no. sensitive	3	2 7		
no. resistant	4	7		
51			16	0.683
Etoposide (3.0 μg/ml)	4	•		
no. sensitive	1	1		
no. resistant	4	6	10	0.074
			12	0.371

^aColony formation \leq 0.5 × control.

activity for CPT-11 has already been reported for patients with non-small-cell lung, ¹⁶ small-cell lung, ¹⁷ ovarian, ¹⁸ colorectal and breast cancers. Additional phase II clinical trials are ongoing. Our *in vitro* studies indicate these phase II trials should demonstrate good clinical activity.

CPT-11 demonstrated incomplete cross-resistance *in vitro* with doxorubicin. These data suggest that a combination of CPT-11 with doxorubicin would be worth pursuing. Masuda *et al.*²⁰ have reported a high response rate with the combination of CPT-11 with cisplatin for patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. We could not demonstrate non-cross-resistance between CPT-11 and cisplatin in our *in vitro* system (p=0.121, see Table 3). This could be due to the small sample size.

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that CPT-11 (at clinically achievable concentrations) is cytotoxic

against *in vitro* tumor colony-forming units from human tumor specimens. Good *in vitro* activity was demonstrated against non-small-cell lung, ovarian, colorectal and breast cancers as well as mesothelioma. Incomplete cross-resistance was observed with doxorubicin. Further clinical development of CPT-11 is warranted. Combination chemotherapy of CPT-11 plus doxorubicin should be investigated.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by Cancer Center support grant #5P30CA54174.

References

 Wall ME, Wani MC, Cook CE, Palmer, et al. Plant antitumor agents. I. The isolation and structure of camptothecin, a novel alkaloidal leukemia and tumor inhibitor from camptotheca acuminata. J Am Chem Soc 1966; 88: 3888-90.

Y Shimada et al.

- Gottlieb JA, Guarino AM, Call JB, et al. Preliminary pharmacologic and clinical evaluation of camptothecin sodium (NSC-100880). Cancer Chemother Rep 1970; 54: 461-70.
- Muggia FA, Creaven PJ, Hansen HH, et al. Phase I clinical trial of weekly and daily treatment with camptothecin (NSC-100880): correlation with preclinical studies. Cancer Chemother Rep 1972; 56: 515-21.
- Kunimoto T, Nitta K, Takeuchi M, et al. Antitumor activity of 7-ethyl-10-[4-(1-piperidino)-1-piperidinolcarbonyloxy-camptothecin, a novel water-soluble derivative of camptothecin, against murine tumors. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 5944-7.
- Burris HA, Hanauske A, Johnson RK, et al. Activity of topotecan, a new topoisomerase I inhibitor, against human tumor colony-forming units in vitro. J Natl Cancer Inst 1992; 84: 1816–20.
- 6. Hamburger AW, Salmon SE. Primary bioassay of human tumor stem cells. *Science* 1977; **197**: 461–3.
- Tanizawa A, Pommier Y. Topoisomerase I inhibition, DNA damage and cytotoxicity induced by camptothecin derivatives in clinical trials. Proc Am Soc Cancer Res 1993; 34: 32.
- 8. Tsuruo T, Matsuzaki T, Matsushita M, et al. Antitumor effect of CPT-11, a new derivative of camptothecin, against pleiotropic drug-resistant tumors in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1988; 21: 71-4.
- Kawato Y, Furuta T, Aonuma M, et al. Antitumor activity of a camptothecin derivative, CPT-11 against human tumor xenografts in nude mice. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1991; 28: 192-8.
- Taguchi T, Wakui A, Hasegawa K, et al. Phase I clinical study of CPT-11. Jpn J Cancer Chemother 1990; 17: 115– 20.
- Sasaki Y, Morita M, Miya T, et al. Pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) analysis of CPT-11 and its active metabolite SN-38. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1992; 11: 111.

- Negoro S, Fukuoka M, Masuda N, et al. Phase I study of weekly intravenous infusions of CPT-11, a new derivative of camptothecin, in the treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1991; 83: 1164-8.
- Rothenberg ML, Kuhn J, Burris HA, et al. A phase I and pharmacokinetic trial of CPT-11 in patients with refractory solid tumors. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1992; 11: 113.
- 14. Rowinsky EK, Grochow L, Ettinger D, et al. Phase I and pharmacologic study of CPT-11, a semisynthetic topoisomerase I targeting agent, on a single dose schedule. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1992; 11: 115.
- Chabot GC, Barilero I, Armand JP, et al. Pharmacokinetics of the camptothecin analog CPT-11 and its active metabolite SN-38 in cancer patients. Proc Am Soc Cancer Res 1992; 32: 175.
- Fukuoka M, Niitani H, Suzuki A, et al. A phase II study of CPT-11, a new camptothecin, for previously untreated non-small cell-lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1992; 10: 16–20.
- Masuda N, Fukuoka M, Kusunoki Y, et al. CPT-11: A new derivative of camptothecin for the treatment of refractory or relapsed small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1992; 10: 1225-9.
- 18. Takeuchi S, Noda K, Yakushiji M, et al. A late phase II study of CPT-11 on uterine cervical cancer and ovarian cancer. *Jpn J Cancer Chemother* 1991; 18: 1681-9.
- Shimada Y, Yoshino M, Wakui A, et al. Phase II study of CPT-11, a new camptothecin derivative, in metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11: 909-13.
- Masuda N, Fukuoka M, Takada M, et al. CPT-11 in combination with cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 1992; 10: 1775-80.

(Received 28 December 1993; accepted 20 January 1994)